1807, the defendants Hagthrop and wife, as administratrix, by their petition to the Orphans Court, referring to the before mentioned deed, set forth, that after she had returned the property therein mentioned as part of the personal estate of her intestate John Hook. it was discovered, that it was held only in trust by him for the purpose of reimbursing himself certain sums of money which he had paid on account of his father Anthony; and afterwards to hold the same for the use of the said Anthony, and his legal representatives; that, on making this discovery, it was agreed among the representatives of Anthony and the petitioners, that it should be sold and the proceeds thereof distributed according to law; that a sale was made accordingly; and that the petitioners were then ready to account for the sum of money paid by John Hook, in his life-time, for Anthony Hook; and also for the distributive share of the residue of the proceeds of sale arising due to John Hook as one of the children of Anthony Hook; and, for this purpose the petitioners prayed, that the property thus erroneously returned in the inventory of John Hook's estate might be stricken therefrom, and the petitioners credited accordingly. Upon which the Orphans Court adjudged and ordered, that the property should be stricken out of the inventory and the petitioners credited as prayed.

The bill further states, that the whole of the property mentioned in said deed of trust, after the death of Anthony Hook, was wrongfully held and retained by John Hook; and after his death was illegally, wrongfully, and fraudulently administered upon, as his property and estate, by the defendants Hagthrop and wife; and for the purpose, and with the intent of defrauding the other heirs of Anthony Hook out of their legal portions thereof; that the defendants Hagthrop and wife, have never accounted for any part of the said property; that they had conveyed a part of the ten acre lot to the defendant McMechen, and a part of the piece of land at Fells. Point to the defendant Bennett; and that the other defendants then held portions of the property mentioned in the deed of the 17th of August, by leases, or other conveyances derived from the defendants Hagthrop and wife and the defendant McMechen.

Whereupon the bill prayed, that the defendants might be compelled to account for the whole of the property which passed into the hands of the said John Hook, and which is mentioned and described in said deed of trust, together with the profits which have arisen therefrom since the same has been in their possession; excepting such part thereof as they are entitled by law to retain in